#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY as subrogor of Lycce Francais De New York,

07 Civ. 4084 (SHS) (AJP)

Plaintiff,

-against-

MAYRICH CONSTRUCTION CORP., LANGAN ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC., F.J. SCIAME CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., POLSHEK PARTNERSHIP, LLP & CANTOR SEINUK GROUP, INC.,

POLSHEK PARTNERSHIP, LLP'S REPLY TO MAYRICH CONSTRUCTION CORP. AND F.J. SCIAME CONSTRUCTION CO. **INC.'S CROSS-CLAIMS** 

Defendants. -------

X

Defendant Polshek Partnership, LLP ("Polshek"), by its attorneys Zetlin & De Chiara LLP, as and for its Reply to the Cross-Claims of Defendants Mayrich Construction Corp. ("Mayrich") and F.J. Sciame Construction Co., Inc. ("Sciame") respectfully alleges and says as follows:

#### ANSWER TO MAYRICH AND SCIAME'S CROSS-CLAIM FOR CONTRIBUTION AND/OR INDEMNIFICATION AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS LANGAN ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC., POLSHEK PARTNERSHIP, LLP AND CANTOR SEINUK GROUP, INC.

Denies each and every allegation contained in the first Cross-Claim of 1. Mayrich and Sciame's Answer to the Complaint ("Answer") for contribution and/or indemnification against the co-defendants to the extent they are directed at Polshek and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the balance of the allegations contained in the first Cross-Claim.

# ANSWER TO MAYRICH AND SCIAME'S CROSS-CLAIM FOR INDEMNIFICATION AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS LANGAN ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC., POLSHEK PARTNERSHIP, LLP AND CANTOR SEINUK GROUP, INC.

2. Denies each and every allegation contained in the second Cross-Claim of Mayrich and Sciame's Answer for indemnification against the co-defendants to the extent they are directed at Polshek and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the balance of the allegations contained in the second Cross-Claim.

#### ANSWER TO MAYRICH AND SCIAME'S CROSS-CLAIM FOR INDEMNIFICATION AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS LANGAN ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC., POLSHEK PARTNERSHIP, LLP AND CANTOR SEINUK GROUP, INC.

3. Denies each and every allegation contained in the third Cross-Claim of the Mayrich and Sciame's Answer for indemnification against the co-defendants to the extent they are directed at Polshek and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the balance of the allegations contained in the third Cross-Claim.

## AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this controversy.

# AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

# AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims against Polshek are barred by Mayrich and Sciame's contributory negligence.

## AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Some or all of Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims against Polshek are barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.

## AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims against Polshek are barred, in whole or in part, by payment, waiver, laches, estoppel and/or release.

## AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Whatever damages Mayrich and Sciame may have sustained or may sustain, as alleged in the Cross-Claims, all of which are denied by Polshek, were or will have been caused in whole or in part by the culpable conduct of Mayrich and Sciame and/or other parties. The amount of damages recovered, if any, shall therefore be diminished in the proportion which the culpable conduct, attributable to Mayrich and Sciame and/or such other parties bears to the culpable conduct which caused these alleged damages.

# AS AND FOR AN SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages alleged in Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims against Polshek were caused or will be caused by the culpable conduct of some third person or persons over whom Polshek neither had nor exercised control.

# AS AND FOR A EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims are barred because they lack of privity with Polshek or the functional equivalent thereof.

# AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Mayrich and Sciame's cross-claims are barred by the terms of Polshek's agreement for the Project.

## WHEREFORE, Defendant Polshek demands judgment,

- (a) Dismissing Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims in their entirety;
- (b) Awarding Polshek all its attorneys' fees, disbursements, and costs incurred in defending Mayrich and Sciame's Cross-Claims; and
- (c) Granting such other and further relief as to this Court seems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York August 17, 2007

ZETLIN & PE CHIARA LLP

By:

Hill P. Chimo Esq. (BC-9381)
Anorneys for Defendant

Polshek Partnership LLP 801 Second Avenue

New York, NY 10017

(212) 682-6800

TO: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

Filed 08/17/2007

#### SERVICE LIST

Michael B. Golden, Esq. ROBINSON & COLE LLP 885 Third Avenue, Suite 2800 New York, New York 10022

Tel: 212-451-2900 Fax: 212-451-2909

Email: mgolden@rc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter

Defendant Hartford Fire Insurance Company

Elaine Caryn Gangel, Esq. GOGICK, BYRNE & O'NEILL, LLP 11 Broadway, Suite 1560 New York, New York 10004

Tel: 212-422-9424 Fax: 212-422-9429 Email: ecg@gogick.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Cross Claimant

and Cross Defendant Cantor Seinuk Group, Inc.

Michael J. Pearsall, Esq.

THE LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD GARFINKEL

110 William Street

New York, New York 10038

Tel: 212-809-9000 Fax: 212-344-8263

Email: Michael.pearsall@aig.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Cross Defendants Mayrich Construction Corp. and F.J. Sciame Construction Co., Inc.

Gilbert L. Lee, Esq. SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP 125 Broad Street, 39th Floor New York, New York 10004

Tel: 212-422-0202 Fax: 212-4220925

Email: gilbert.lee@sdma.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Cross Defendant and Cross Claimant Langan Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc.